arXiv:1302.6395v2 [math.AC] 11 Apr 2013

ON FLAT AND GORENSTEIN FLAT DIMENSIONS OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

MAJID RAHRO ZARGAR AND HOSSEIN ZAKERI

Abstract. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a commutative Noetherian local ring and let M be a relative Cohen-Macaulay R–module with respect to a proper ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of R and set $n := \operatorname{ht}_M \mathfrak{a}$. We prove that $\operatorname{fd}_R M < \infty$ if and only if $\operatorname{fd}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) < \infty$, and that $\operatorname{fd}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = \operatorname{fd}_R M + n$. This result provides some characterizations of Gorenstein local rings which, under some additional assumptions, have been exposed in the literature. We also prove that $\operatorname{Gpd}_R M < \infty$ if and only if $\operatorname{Gfd}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$ and that $\operatorname{Gfd}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{Gpd}_R M + n$ whenever M is Cohen-Macaulay with $\dim M = n$. As an application of this result, we show that its G_C–Gorenstein projective version holds true, where C is a semidualizing R–module.

1. introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ is a proper ideal of R and M is an R-module. From section 3, we assume that R is local with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. In this case, $\hat{R}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{m}$–adic completion of R and $\mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m})$ denotes the injective hull of the residue field $R/\mathfrak{m}$. For each non-negative integer i, let $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ denotes the i-th local cohomology module of M with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ (see [3] for its definition and basic results). Also, we use $\operatorname{id}_R(M)$, $\operatorname{pd}_R(M)$ and $\operatorname{fd}_R(M)$, respectively, to denote the usual injective, projective and flat dimensions of M respectively. The notions of Gorenstein injective, Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein flat, were introduced by Enochs and Jenda in [9]. Notice that, the classes of Gorenstein injective, Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein flat modules include, respectively the classes of injective, projective and flat modules. Recently, the authors proved, in [17, Theorem 2.5], that if M is a certain module over a local ring R, then $\operatorname{id}_R(M)$ and $\operatorname{id}_R(\mathrm{H}^{\operatorname{ht}_M \mathfrak{a}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ are simultaneously finite and the equality $\operatorname{id}_R(\mathrm{H}^{\operatorname{ht}_M \mathfrak{a}}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) = \operatorname{id}_R(M) - \operatorname{ht}_M \mathfrak{a}$ holds. Also, a counterpart of this result was established in Gorenstein homological algebra. Indeed, under the additional assumption that R has a dualizing complex, it was proved that $\operatorname{Gid}_R M < \infty$ implies $\operatorname{Gid}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) < \infty$ and that the converse holds whenever both R and M are Cohen-Macaulay. As an application of this result, it was shown that the equality $\operatorname{Gid}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{Gid}_R M - n$ holds, whenever M is a Cohen-Macaulay module over the Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ and $\dim M = n$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D05, 13D45, 18G20.

Key words and phrases. Flat dimension, Gorenstein injective dimension, Gorenstein flat dimension, Local cohomology, Relative Cohen-Macaulay module, Semidualizing module.

The principal aim of this paper is to study, in like manner, the projective (resp. Gorenstein projective) dimension of certain R-modules in terms of flat (resp. Gorenstein flat) dimension of its local cohomology modules.

In this paper we will use the concept of relative Cohen-Macaulay modules which has been studied in [12] under the title of cohomologically complete intersections and continued in [17] and [16]. The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 3, we prove, in 3.1, that if M is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\operatorname{ht}_M\mathfrak{a} = n$, then $\operatorname{fd}_R M$ and $\operatorname{fd}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ are simultaneously finite and $\operatorname{fd}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = \operatorname{fd}_R M + n$. Next, in 3.3, we prove that a d-dimensional finitely generated R–module M with finite projective dimension is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\operatorname{fd}_R \mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{pd}_R M + d$. Notice that, this result is a generalization of [9, Proposition 9.5.22]. In 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7, we also generalize some results of the author and H. Zakeri, which have been proved in [16] and [17] under some additional assumptions. In 3.8, we generalize the well-known result that if the local ring R admits a non-zero Cohen-Macaulay module of finite projective dimension, then R is Cohen-Macaulay. Indeed, it is shown that R is Cohen-Macaulay if it admits a non-zero Cohen-Macaulay R–module with finite $\text{C-pd}_R(M)$, where C is a semidualizing R–module. In section 4, as a main result, a Gorenstein projective version of 3.1 is demonstrated in a certain case. Indeed, it is shown, in 4.2, that if M is Cohen-Macaulay with $\dim M = n$, then $\operatorname{Gpd}_R M < \infty$ if and only if $\operatorname{Gfd}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$ and, moreover, the equality $\operatorname{Gfd}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{Gpd}_R M + n$ holds. Also, in 4.3, we provide a Gorenstein projective version of 3.3. Finally, with the aid of the above result, it is proved that if C is a semidualizing R-module and M is Cohen-Macaulay with $\dim M = n$, then the quantities $G_C\text{-pd}_R M$ and $G_C\text{-fd}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ are simultaneously finite and the equality $G_C\text{-fd}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = G_C\text{-pd}_R M + n$ holds.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some definitions and facts which are needed throughout this paper.

Definition 2.1. Following [20, Definition 2.1], let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of R-modules and let M be an R-module. An $\mathcal{X}$-*coresolution* of M is a complex of R-modules in $\mathcal{X}$ of the form

$$X = 0 \longrightarrow X_0 \xrightarrow{\partial^X_0} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial^X_{-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial^X_{n+1}} X_n \xrightarrow{\partial^X_n} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial^X_{n-1}} \cdots$$

such that $\mathrm{H}_0(X) \cong M$ and $\mathrm{H}_n(X) = 0$ for all $n \leq -1$. The $\mathcal{X}$-*injective dimension* of M is the quantity

$$\mathcal{X}\text{-id}_R(M) = \inf\{\sup\{-n \geq 0 | X_n \neq 0\} \mid X \text{ is an } \mathcal{X}\text{-coresolution of } M \ \}.$$

The modules of $\mathcal{X}$-injective dimension zero are precisely the non-zero modules of $\mathcal{X}$ and also $\mathcal{X}\text{-id}_R(0) = -\infty$.

Dually, an $\mathcal{X}$-*resolution* of M is a complex of R-modules in $\mathcal{X}$ of the form

$$X = \cdots \longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{\partial^X_n} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial^X_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial^X_2} X_1 \xrightarrow{\partial^X_1} X_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

such that $\mathrm{H}_0(X) \cong M$ and $\mathrm{H}_n(X) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. The $\mathcal{X}$-*projective dimension* of M is the quantity

$$\mathcal{X}\text{-pd}_R(M) = \inf\{\sup\{n \geq 0 | X_n \neq 0\} \mid X \text{ is an } \mathcal{X}\text{-resolution of } M \}.$$

The modules of $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension zero are precisely the non-zero modules of $\mathcal{X}$ and also $\mathcal{X}\text{-pd}_R(0) = -\infty$.

The following notion of semidualizing modules goes back at least to Vasconcelos [23], but was rediscovered by others. The reader is referred to [19] for more details about semidualizing modules.

Definition 2.2. A finitely generated R-module C is called *semidualizing* if the natural homomorphism $R \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism and $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(C, C) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. An R-module D is said to be a *dualizing* R-module if it is semidualizing and has finite injective dimension. For a semidualizing R-module C, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_C(R) &= \{ \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, I) |\ I \text{ is an injective } R\text{-module}\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_C(R) &= \{ C \otimes_R P |\ P \text{ is a projective } R\text{-module}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_C(R) &= \{ C \otimes_R F |\ F \text{ is a flat } R\text{-module}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The R–modules in $\mathcal{I}_C(R)$, $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ are called C–injective, C–projective and C–flat, respectively. For convenience the quantities $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{C}(R)\text{-id}_R M$ and $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{C}(R)\text{-pd}_R M$, which are defined as in 2.1, are denoted by $\text{C-id}_R(M)$ and $\text{C-pd}_R(M)$ respectively. Notice that when $C = R$ these notions recover the concepts of injective and projective dimensions, respectively.

Based on the work of E.E. Enochs and O.M.G. Jenda [9], the following notions were introduced and studied by H. Holm and P. Jørgensen [14].

Definitions 2.3. Let C be a semidualizing R-module. A complete $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{I}$-*coresolution* is a complex Y of R-modules such that

(i) Y is exact and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(I, Y)$ is exact for each $I \in \mathcal{I}_C(R)$, and that
(ii) $Y_i \in \mathcal{I}_C(R)$ for all $i > 0$ and Y_i is injective for all $i \leq 0$.

An R-module M is called G_C-*injective* if there exists a complete $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{I}$-coresolution Y such that $M \cong \ker(\partial^Y_0)$. In this case Y is a complete $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{I}$-coresolution of M. The class of G_C-injective R-modules is denoted by $\mathcal{GI}_\mathcal{C}(R)$.

A complete $\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{P}$-*resolution* is a complex X of R–modules such that

(i) X is exact and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X, P)$ is exact for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$, and that
(ii) $X_i \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ for all $i < 0$ and X_i is projective for all $i \geq 0$.

An R-module M is called G_C-*projective* if there exists a complete $\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{P}$-resolution X such that $M \cong \mathrm{Coker}(\partial^X_1)$. In this case X is a complete $\mathcal{P}_C\mathcal{P}$-resolution of M. The class of G_C-projective R-modules is denoted by $\mathcal{GP}_\mathcal{C}(R)$.

A complete $\mathcal{F}_C\mathcal{F}$-*resolution* is a complex Z of R–modules such that

(i) Z is exact and $Z \otimes_R I$ is exact for each $I \in \mathcal{I}_C(R)$, and that
(ii) $Z_i \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$ for all $i < 0$ and Z_i is flat for all $i \geq 0$.

An R-module M is called *G_C-flat* if there exists a complete $\mathcal{F}_C\mathcal{F}$-resolution Z such that $M \cong \operatorname{Coker}(\partial_1^Z)$. In this case Z is a complete $\mathcal{F}_C\mathcal{F}$-resolution of M. The class of G_C-flat R-modules is denoted by $\mathcal{GF}_\mathcal{C}(R)$.

For convenience the $\mathcal{GI}_\mathcal{C}(R)$-id ${}_RM$, $\mathcal{GP}_\mathcal{C}(R)$-pd ${}_RM$ and $\mathcal{GF}_\mathcal{C}(R)$-pd ${}_RM$ of M which are defined as in 2.1 are denoted by G_C-id ${}_R(M)$, G_C-pd ${}_RM$ and G_C-fd ${}_RM$, respectively. Note that when $C = R$ these notions are exactly the concepts of Gorenstein injective, Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein flat dimensions which were introduced in [9].

Definition 2.4. We say that a finitely generated R-module M is *relative Cohen Macaulay with respect to* $\mathfrak{a}$ if there is precisely one non-vanishing local cohomology module of M with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. Clearly this is the case if and only if $\operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$, where $\operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is the largest integer i for which $\mathrm{H}^i_\mathfrak{a}(M) \neq 0$. Observe that the notion of relative Cohen-Macaulay module is connected with the notion of cohomologically complete intersection ideal which has been studied in [12].

Remark 2.5. Let M be a relative Cohen-Macaulay module with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and let $\operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = n$. Then, in view of [3, theorems 6.1.4, 4.2.1, 4.3.2], it is easy to see that $\operatorname{Supp} \mathrm{H}^n_\mathfrak{a}(M) = \operatorname{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{a}M)$ and $\operatorname{ht}_M \mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$, where $\operatorname{ht}_M \mathfrak{a} = \inf\{\dim_{R_\mathfrak{p}} M_\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{a}M)\}$.

Next, we recall some elementary results about the trivial extension of a ring by a module.

Definition and Facts 2.6. Let C be an R-module. Then the direct sum $R \oplus C$ has the structure of a commutative ring with respect to the multiplication defined by

$$(a, c)(a', c') = (aa', ac' + a'c),$$

for all $(a, c), (a', c') \in R \oplus C$. This ring is called *trivial extension* of R by C and is denoted by $R \ltimes C$. The following properties of $R \ltimes C$ are needed in this paper.

(i) There are natural ring homomorphisms $R \rightleftarrows R \ltimes C$ which enable us to consider R-modules as $R \ltimes C$-modules, and vice versa.

(ii) For any ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of R, $\mathfrak{a} \oplus C$ is an ideal of $R \ltimes C$.

(iii) $(R \ltimes C, \mathfrak{m} \oplus C)$ is a Noetherian local ring whenever $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Noetherian local ring and C is a finitely generated R-module. Also, in this case, $\dim R = \dim R \ltimes C$.

The classes defined next is collectively known as Foxby classes. The reader is referred to [19] for some basic results about those classes.

Definition 2.7. Let C be a semidualizing R-module. The *Bass class* with respect to C is the class $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{C}(R)$ of R–modules M such that

(i) $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C, M) = 0 = \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(C, \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M))$ for all $i \geq 1$, *and that*

(ii) the natural evaluation map $C \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M) \to M$ is an isomorphism.

Dually, the *Auslander class* with respect to C, denoted by $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$, consists of all R-modules M such that

(i) $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(C, M) = 0 = \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C, C \otimes_R M)$ for all $i \geq 1$, *and that*

(ii) the natural map $M \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R M)$ is an isomorphism.

3. LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND FLAT DIMENSION

The following theorem, which is one of the main results of this section, provides a comparison between the flat dimensions of a relative Cohen-Macaulay module and its non-zero local cohomology module. Here we adopt the convention that the flat dimension of the zero module is to be taken as $-\infty$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let n be a non-negative integer such that $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)=0$ for all $i\neq n$.*

(i) *If $\mathrm{fd}\,_RM<\infty$, then $\mathrm{fd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)<\infty$.*

(ii) *The converse holds whenever M is finitely generated.*

Furthermore, if M is non-zero finitely generated, then $\mathrm{fd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)=\mathrm{fd}\,_RM+n=\mathrm{pd}\,_RM+n$.

Proof. (i): First notice that we may assume $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)\neq 0$. Let $\mathrm{fd}\,_RM=s$ and let c be the arithmetic rank of $\mathfrak{a}$. Then, there exists a sequence $x_1,\dots,x_c$ of elements of R such that $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}=\sqrt{(x_1,\dots,x_c)}$. We notice that $n\leq c$. Let $C(R)^{\bullet}$ denotes the Čech complex of R with respect to $x_1,\dots,x_c$. Let N be an arbitrary $R-$module and let $F_{\bullet}$ be a free resolution for N. For the first quadrant bicomplex $\mathcal{M}=\{M_{p,q}=F_p\otimes_R M\otimes_R C_{c-q}\}$ we denote the total complex of $\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathrm{Tot}\,(\mathcal{M})$. Now, with the notation of [18], E^1 is the bigraded module whose (p,q) term is $\mathrm{H}^{''}_q(M_{p,*})$, the q-th homology of the p-th column. Since F_p is flat, by assumption we have

$$^{I}E^1_{p,q}=\mathrm{H}^{''}_q(M_{p,*})=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } q\neq c-n\\ F_p\otimes_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) & \text{if } q=c-n,\end{cases}$$

therefore

$$^{I}E^2_{p,q}=\mathrm{H}^{'}_p\mathrm{H}^{''}_q(\mathcal{M})=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } q\neq c-n\\ \mathrm{Tor}\,^R_p(N,\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) & \text{if } q=c-n;\end{cases}$$

and hence the spectral sequence collapses. Note that, in view of [18, Theorem 10.16] we have $^{I}E^2_{p,q}\underset{p}{\Longrightarrow}\mathrm{H}_{p+q}(\mathrm{Tot}\,(\mathcal{M}))$ for all p,q. Thus, for all $t=p+q$, there is the following filtration

$$0=\Phi^{-1}H_t\subseteq\Phi^0H_t\subseteq\ldots\subseteq\Phi^{t-1}H_t\subseteq\Phi^tH_t=H_t$$

such that $^{I}E^{\infty}_{p,q}\cong\Phi^pH_t/\Phi^{p-1}H_t$. Therefore, one can use the above filtration to see that

$$\mathrm{Tor}\,^R_p(N,\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))\cong\mathrm{H}_{p+c-n}(\mathrm{Tot}\,(\mathcal{M}))\tag{3.1}$$

for all p.

A similar argument applies to the second iterated homology, using the fact that each C_{c-q} is flat, yields

$$^{II}E^2_{p',q'}=\mathrm{H}^{''}_{p'}\mathrm{H}^{'}_{q'}(\mathcal{M})=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } q'>s\\ \mathrm{H}^{c-p'}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathrm{Tor}\,^R_{q'}(N,M)) & \text{if } q'\leq s.\end{cases}$$

Now, we claim that $^{II}E^{\infty}_{p',q'}=0$ for all p',q' such that $p'+q'=p+c-n$ and that $p>s+n$. To this end, first notice that, by [18, Theorem 10.16], we have $^{II}E^2_{p',q'}\underset{p'}{\Longrightarrow}\mathrm{H}_{p'+q'}(\mathrm{Tot}\,(\mathcal{M}))$.

If $q^{'} > s$, there is nothing to prove. Let $q^{'} \leq s$. Then $0 > c - p^{'}$ and hence ${}^{II}E^2_{p^{'},q^{'}} = 0$; which in turn yields ${}^{II}E^{\infty}_{p^{'},q^{'}} = 0$. Now, by using a similar filtration as above, one can see that $\mathrm{H}_{p+c-n}(\mathrm{Tot}\,(\mathcal{M})) = 0$ for all $p > s + n$. Therefore $\mathrm{Tor}\,^R_p(N, \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) = 0$ for all $p > s + n$; and hence $\mathrm{fd}\,_R(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \leq s + n$.

(ii): First, notice that $\mathrm{Tor}\,^R_i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$-torsion for all i. Therefore, by using the same arguments as above, one can deduce that

$${}^{II}E^2_{p^{'},q^{'}} = \mathrm{H}^{''}_{p^{'}}\mathrm{H}^{'}_{q^{'}}(\mathcal{M}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p^{'} \neq c \\ \mathrm{Tor}\,^R_{q^{'}}(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) & \text{if } p^{'} = c. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the spectral sequence collapses at the c-th column; and hence we get the isomorphism $\mathrm{Tor}\,^R_{q^{'}}(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \cong \mathrm{H}_{q^{'}+c}(\mathrm{Tot}\,(\mathcal{M}))$ for all $q^{'}$. It therefore follows, by the isomorphism (3.1), that

$$\mathrm{Tor}\,^R_p(R/\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \cong \mathrm{Tor}\,^R_{p-n}(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \tag{3.2}$$

for all p. Now, suppose for a moment that M is non-zero and finitely generated. Then, one can use [18, Corollary 8.54], to see that $\mathrm{fd}\,_R M < \infty$. The final assertion is a consequence of (i) and (ii). □

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and that $\mathrm{ht}\,_R\mathfrak{a} = n$. Then, for every non-zero faithfully flat R–module M we have $\mathrm{fd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = n$.*

Proof. Let M be a non-zero faithfully flat R–module. Since the functor $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(-)$ is right exact, we have $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \cong \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \otimes_R M$; and hence by assumption $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{m}M \neq M$. By, [18, Theorem 5.40], there is a directed index set I and a family of finitely generated free R–modules $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$ such that $M = \varinjlim_{i\in I} M_i$. Notice that each M_i is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and that $\mathrm{ht}\,_{M_i}\mathfrak{a} = n$. Therefore, $\mathrm{H}^j_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = \varinjlim_{i\in I}\mathrm{H}^j_{\mathfrak{a}}(M_i) = 0$ for all $j \neq n$; and hence, in view of 3.1(i), we get $\mathrm{fd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \leq n$. Now, if $\mathrm{fd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) < n$, then $\mathrm{Tor}\,^R_n(R/\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) = 0$. But, by the isomorphism (3.2), which is proved without finitely generated assumption on M, we have $\mathrm{Tor}\,^R_n(R/\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \cong M/\mathfrak{m}M \neq 0$ which is a contradiction. □

The next proposition is a generalization of [9, Proposition 9.5.22].

Proposition 3.3. *Let M be a d-dimensional finitely generated R–module of finite projective dimension. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) M is Cohen-Macaulay.
(ii) $\mathrm{fd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \mathrm{pd}\,_R M + d$.
(iii) $\mathrm{pd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \mathrm{pd}\,_R M + d$.

Moreover, if one of the above statements holds, then R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. We first notice that the Artinian R–module $\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ has a natural $\hat{R}$–module structure and that $\mathrm{fd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \mathrm{fd}\,_{\hat{R}}(\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$. Now, assume that $\mathrm{fd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$. Then, in view of [15, Proposition 6] and [11, Theorem 3.2.6], we see that $\mathrm{fd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \leq \mathrm{pd}\,_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \leq \dim R$.

Next, by [4, Theorem 3.1.17], [6, Theorem 4.16] and the New Intersection Theorem, one can deduce that

$$\operatorname{fd}_{\hat{R}}\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{id}_{\hat{R}}\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), \mathrm{E}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{R})) = \operatorname{depth}\hat{R} = \dim R.$$

It therefore follows that $\operatorname{fd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{pd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \dim R$ and that R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Now, the implications (ii)⇔(iii) follows immediately from the above argument.

(ii)⇒(i): Since $\operatorname{fd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$, one can use the conclusion of the above argument in conjunction with the Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem [4, Theorem 1.3.3] to see that M is Cohen-Macaulay. Finally the implication (i)⇒(ii) follows from 3.1.

□

The following corollary, which is an immediate consequence of the pervious proposition, has been proved in [9, Proposition 9.5.22] under the extra condition that the underlying ring admits a canonical module.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that* $\dim R = d$. *Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

(ii) $\operatorname{fd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = d$.

(iii) $\operatorname{pd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = d$.

The next proposition has been proved in [16, Proposition 3.3] under the extra conditions that the underlying ring is Cohen-Macaulay and admits a dualizing complex.

Proposition 3.5. *Let C be a semidualizing R-module. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *C is a dualizing R-module.*

(ii) $G_C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) < \infty$ for all ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ of R such that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and that $\operatorname{ht}_R\mathfrak{a} = n$.

(iii) $G_C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) < \infty$ *for some ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of R such that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and that* $\operatorname{ht}_R\mathfrak{a} = n$.

Proof. The implication (i)⇒(ii) follows from [16, Theorem 3.2(ii)] and the implication (ii)⇒(iii) is clear.

(iii)⇒(i): Suppose that $G_C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) < \infty$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of R such that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and that $\operatorname{ht}_R\mathfrak{a} = n$. Then, in view of 3.1, $\operatorname{fd}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) < \infty$. Hence, one can use [15, Proposition 6] to see that $\operatorname{pd}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) < \infty$. Therefore, by [20, Theorem 2.3], we have $G_C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = \mathrm{C}\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$. Hence, one can use [16, Theorem 3.2(ii)] to complete the proof. □

An immediate consequence of the previous proposition is the next Corollary, which has been proved in [17, Corollary 3.10] with additional assumptions that R is Cohen-Macaulay and admits a dualizing complex.

Corollary 3.6. *The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) R is a Gorenstein ring.

(ii) $\operatorname{Gid}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) < \infty$ for all ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ of R such that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and that $\operatorname{ht}_R \mathfrak{a} = n$.

(iii) $\operatorname{Gid}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) < \infty$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of R such that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and that $\operatorname{ht}_R \mathfrak{a} = n$.

It follows from the proof of [16, Theorem 3.2(i)] that if n is a non-negative integer and M is an R–module(not necessarily finitely generated) such that $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$ and that $\text{C-id}_R M$ is finite, then $\text{C-id}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is finite. This fact leads us to the following proposition which recovers [16, Theorem 3.8].

Proposition 3.7. *Let C be a semidualizing R-module. Consider the following statements.*

(i) *R is Gorenstein.*

(ii) $\text{C-id}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(C) < \infty$ *for all ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ of R such that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and that* $\operatorname{ht}_R \mathfrak{a} = n$.

(iii) $\text{C-id}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(C) < \infty$ *for some ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of R such that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and that* $\operatorname{ht}_R \mathfrak{a} = n$.

Then, the implications (i)⇒(ii)⇒(iii) hold true, and the implication (iii)⇒(i) holds true whenever R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. First, notice that $R \cong C$ whenever R is Gorenstein. Hence, the implication (i)⇒(ii) follows from [17, Theorem 2.5(i)]. The implication (ii)⇒(iii) is clear.

(iii)⇒(i): Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R such that R is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and that $\operatorname{ht}_R \mathfrak{a} = n$. Since $\operatorname{Supp}_R(C) = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, in view of [7, Theorem 2.2], we get $\operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R) = \operatorname{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, C)$. On the other hand, by [19, Theorem 2.2.6(c)], $\operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, R) = \operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, C)$. Hence, 2.4 implies that C is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. Also, by [3, Theorem 3.4.10], the local cohomology functor $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(-)$ commutes with direct limits and any R-module can be viewed as a direct limit of its finitely generated submodules. It therefore follows that the functor $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(-)$ is right exact. Thus, in view of [3, Exercise 6.1.9], we have $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) \otimes_R C \cong \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(C)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m})) = \mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m})$ and for any non-maximal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R, $\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{p})) = 0$, we may apply [17, Proposition 2.8] to see that $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(C)) = \mathrm{H}^{n+i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(C)$ for all $i \geq 0$. Therefore, by considering the additional assumption that R is Cohen-Macaulay, one can deduce that

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(C)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq \dim R/\mathfrak{a} \\ \mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(C) & \text{if } i = \dim R/\mathfrak{a}, \end{cases}$$

where $d = \dim R$. Thus, by the assumption and [16, Theorem 3.2(i)], we see that $\text{C-id}_R \mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(C)$ is finite. Now, one can use [16, Theorem 3.8] to complete the proof. □

It is known that if a local ring admits a non-zero Cohen-Macaulay R–module of finite projective dimension, then it is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. The following theorem is a generalization of this result.

Theorem 3.8. *Let C be a semidualizing R–module. If there exists a non-zero Cohen-Macaulay R-module M with finite* $\text{C-pd}_R M$, *then R is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Let M be a non-zero Cohen-Macaulay R–module of dimension n such that $\text{C-pd}\,_R M$ is finite. Notice that, in view of [22, Theorem 2.11(c)], we have $\text{C-pd}\,_R M = \text{pd}\,_R \text{Hom}\,_R(C, M)$. Also, since $C \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is a semidualizing $\hat{R}$–module and $\text{Hom}\,_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \hat{M}) \cong \text{Hom}\,_R(C, M) \otimes_R \hat{R}$, we may assume that R is complete. Now, by using [22, Corollary 2.9(a)], we have $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. Therefore, $\text{Tor}\,_i^R(C, \text{Hom}\,_R(C, M)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and $C \otimes_R \text{Hom}\,_R(C, M) \cong M$. Hence, one can use [1, Theorem 1.2] to obtain the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}\,_R M &= \text{depth}\,_R(C \otimes_R \text{Hom}\,_R(C, M)) \\ &= \text{depth}\,_R C - \text{depth}\, R + \text{depth}\,_R \text{Hom}\,_R(C, M) \\ &= \text{depth}\,_R \text{Hom}\,_R(C, M). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\text{Ass}\,_R(\text{Hom}\,_R(C, M)) = \text{Ass}\,_R(M)$ and M is Cohen-Macaulay, we see that $\dim_R(M) = \dim_R(\text{Hom}\,_R(C, M))$. Therefore, $\text{Hom}\,_R(C, M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence, one can use 3.1 to see that the injective dimension of the finitely generated R–module $\text{Hom}\,_R(\text{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Hom}\,_R(C, M)), \text{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ is finite. Therefore, by the New Intersection Theorem, R is Cohen-Macaulay. □

Applying Theorem 3.8 to the semidualizing R–module $C = R$, we immediately obtain the following well-known result.

Corollary 3.9. *If R admits a non-zero Cohen-Macaulay module of finite projective dimension, then R is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proposition 3.10. *Let M be relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and set $\text{ht}\,_M \mathfrak{a} = n$. Suppose that $\text{Gid}\,_R \text{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ and $\text{Gfd}\,_R \text{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ are finite. Then $\text{pd}\,_R M$ is finite if and only if $\text{id}\,_R M$ is finite.*

Proof. Let $\text{pd}\,_R M < \infty$. Then, in view of 3.1, we have $\text{fd}\,_R(\text{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) < \infty$. Therefore, by the assumption and [13, Theorem 2.6(i)], $\text{id}\,_R \text{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) < \infty$. Hence, in view of [17, Theorem 2.5], $\text{id}\,_R M < \infty$. Now, to prove the reverse, suppose that $\text{id}\,_R M < \infty$. Then, by [17, Theorem 2.5], $\text{id}\,_R(\text{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) < \infty$. Therefore, by the assumption and [6, Proposition 4.21], $\text{fd}\,_R(\text{H}^n_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) < \infty$. Hence, in view of 3.1, we have $\text{pd}\,_R M < \infty$. □

Remark 3.11. If R is Gorenstein, then, by [6, theorems 3.14 and 4.11], $\text{Gfd}\,_R M$ and $\text{Gid}\,_R M$ are finite for any R–module M. Therefore 3.10 implies the well-known fact that, for a finitely generated R–module M, $\text{pd}\,_R M$ is finite if and only if $\text{id}\,_R M$ is finite.

4. LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND GORENSTEIN FLAT DIMENSION

The starting point of this section is the next lemma which has been proved, in [17, Lemma 3.7] and [17, Corollary 3.9], under the extra assumption that R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that M is a non-zero finitely generated R–module. Then the following statements hold true.*

(i) Suppose that $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ is both R–regular and M–regular. Then $\text{Gid}\,_R M < \infty$ if and only if $\text{Gid}\,_{R/xR}(M/xM) < \infty$.

(ii) Assume that M is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension n. Then $\operatorname{Gid}_R M < \infty$ if and only if $\operatorname{Gid}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$.

Proof. First notice that, by [6, Theorem 3.24], $\operatorname{Gid}_R M = \operatorname{Gid}_{\hat{R}} \hat{M}$. On the other hand, since $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is Artinian, in view of [21, Lemma 3.6], we have $\operatorname{Gid}_R \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{Gid}_{\hat{R}} \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{Gid}_{\hat{R}} \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(\hat{M})$. Thus, we can assume that R is complete; and hence it has a dualizing complex D.

(i): Set $\overline{R} = R/xR$. We notice that $\operatorname{fd}_R \overline{R} < \infty$ and $\mu^{i+\operatorname{depth} R}(\mathfrak{m}, R) = \mu^{i+\operatorname{depth} \overline{R}}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}, \overline{R})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mu^i(\mathfrak{m}, R)$ denotes the i-th Bass number of R with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$. Hence, by using [2, 2.11], we see that $D \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_R \overline{R}$ is a dualizing complex for $\overline{R}$. On the other hand, by the assumption, one can deduce that $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\overline{R}, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Therefore $\overline{M} \simeq M \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_R \overline{R}$, in derived category $\mathcal{D}(R)$. Now, we can use [5, Theorem 5.5] to complete the proof.

(ii): Let M be Cohen-Macaulay with $\dim M = n$. Then, the implication ($\Rightarrow$) follows from [17, Theorem 3.8(i)]. To prove the converse, we proceed by induction on n. The case $n = 0$ is obvious. Assume that $n > 0$ and that the result has been proved for $n-1$. Now, by using [17, Theorem 3.12(ii)] in conjunction with the assumption, one can choose an element x in $\mathfrak{m}$ which is both R-regular and M-regular. Next, we can use the induced exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/xM) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

and [6, Proposition 3.9] to see that $\operatorname{Gid}_R(\mathrm{H}^{n-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M/xM))$ is finite. Hence, by the inductive hypothesis, $\operatorname{Gid}_R M/xM$ is finite. Therefore, in view of [6, Theorem 7.6(b)], $\operatorname{Gid}_{R/xR} M/xM < \infty$. It therefore follows from part(i) that $\operatorname{Gid}_R M$ is finite. Now the result follows by induction.

□

The following theorem, which is the main result of this section, provides a comparison between the Gorenstein projective dimensions of a Cohen-Macaulay module and its non-zero local cohomology module with support in $\mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that the R–module M is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension n. Then* $\operatorname{Gpd}_R(M)$ *and* $\operatorname{Gfd}_R(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$ *are simultaneously finite and when they are finite, there is an equality* $\operatorname{Gfd}_R(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = \operatorname{Gpd}_R(M) + n$.

Proof. First notice that, in view of [6, Theorem 4.27] and [6, propositions 2.16 and 1.26], we have $\operatorname{Gfd}_R \mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \operatorname{Gfd}_{\hat{R}} \mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(\hat{M})$ and $\operatorname{Gpd}_R(M) = \operatorname{Gpd}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{M})$. Therefore, without lose of generality, we can assume that R is complete; and hence it is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein local ring $(S, \mathfrak{n})$ of dimension d. Now, in view of the local duality theorem [3, Theorem 11.2.6], we have

$$\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-n}_S(M, S), \mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m})). \tag{4.1}$$

Next, we notice that M is a Cohen-Macaulay S–module of dimension n; and hence, by [4, Theorem 3.3.10(c)(i)], the S–module $\operatorname{Ext}^{d-n}_S(M, S)$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension n. So that it is a Cohen-Macaulay R–module. Therefore, again, we can use the local duality theorem and [4, Theorem 3.3.10(c)(iii)] to obtain the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-n}_S(M,S)) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-n}_S(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-n}_S(M,S),S),\mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,\mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m})). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Now, with the aid of the above arguments, there are equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Gpd}_R(M)<\infty &\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Gid}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,\mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m})))<\infty \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Gid}_R(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-n}_S(M,S)))<\infty \\ &\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Gid}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^{d-n}_S(M,S))<\infty \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Gfd}_R(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))<\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, in the above equivalences, the first step follows from [6, Theorem 4.16 and Proposition 4.24], the second step is from (4.2), the third step is clear by 4.1(ii), and the fourth step is an immediate consequence of (4.1) and [6, Theorem 4.25].

For the final assertion, we notice that, since $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is Artinian and R is complete, the R–module $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M),\mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ is finitely generated. Therefore, in view of [6, theorems 3.24 and 4.16], we get the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Gfd}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) &= \operatorname{Gid}_R\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M),\mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &= \operatorname{depth} R \\ &= \operatorname{Gpd}_R M+n, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from [6, Proposition 4.24 and Theorem 1.25]. □

The following proposition is a Gorenstein projective version of 3.3.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that R is Cohen-Macaulay and that M is a d–dimensional finitely generated R–module of finite Gorenstein projective dimension. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) M is Cohen-Macaulay.
(ii) $\operatorname{Gfd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)=\operatorname{Gpd}_R M+d$.
(iii) $\operatorname{Gpd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)=\operatorname{Gpd}_R M+d$.

Proof. The implication (i)⇒(ii) follows from 4.2. (ii)⇒(i),(iii): Since R has finite Kurll dimension and $\operatorname{Gfd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is finite, we have the finitness of $\operatorname{Gpd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ by [10, Theorem 3.4]. Hence, by [8, Corollary 2.4], $\operatorname{Gpd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\leq\dim R$. Therefore, in view of [6, Theorem 4.23], we get the following inequalities

$$\operatorname{Gpd}_R M+d=\operatorname{Gfd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\leq\operatorname{Gpd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\leq\dim R.$$

Now, one can use [6, Proposition 2.16 and Theorem 1.25] to see that $\operatorname{Gfd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)=\operatorname{Gpd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ and that $\operatorname{depth} M=\dim M$. Thus, M is Cohen-Macaulay and (iii) holds true.

(iii)⇒(ii): First, we notice that, by [6, Theorem 4.27], $\operatorname{Gfd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)=\operatorname{Gfd}_{\hat{R}}\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(\hat{M})$ and that, in view of [6, propositions 4.23 and 2.20], the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Gfd}_{\hat{R}}\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(\hat{M}) &\leq \operatorname{Gpd}_{\hat{R}}\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(\hat{M}) \\ &\leq \operatorname{Gpd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is an Artinian $\hat{R}$–module, one can use [6, Theorem 4.16] to see that the finitely generated $\hat{R}$–module $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), \mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ is of finite Gorenstein injective dimension. Therefore, by [6, Theorem 3.24] and [6, Theorem 4.16], $\mathrm{Gfd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}}\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), \mathrm{E}(R/\mathfrak{m})) = \dim R$. Hence, one can use [8, Corollary 2.4] and above inequalities to complete the proof.

□

Next, we single out a certain case of 4.3 which is a Gorenstein projective version of Corollary 3.4. Notice that the proof of the following corollary is similar to the proof of 4.3(ii)⇒(i).

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose that* $\dim R = d$. *Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) R is Cohen-Macaulay.
(ii) $\mathrm{Gfd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = d$.

The following proposition is a generalization of Theorem 4.2 in terms of G_C–dimensions.

Proposition 4.5. *Let C be a semidualizing R–module and let M be a Cohen-Macaulay R–module of dimension n. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $G_C\text{-pd}_R M < \infty$.
(ii) $G_C\text{-fd}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$.

Furthermore, $G_C\text{-fd}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = G_C\text{-pd}_R M + n$.

Proof. First, we notice that, in view of [3, Theorem 4.2.1], $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \cong \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{m}\oplus C}(M)$ for all i. Therefore, M is a Cohen-Macaulay $R \ltimes C$–module with dimension n. On the other hand, by using [14, Theorem 2.16], we have $G_C\text{-pd}_R M = \mathrm{Gpd}_{R\ltimes C} M$ and $G_C\text{-fd}_R\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \mathrm{Gfd}_{R\ltimes C}\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \mathrm{Gfd}_{R\ltimes C}\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}\oplus C}(M)$. Hence, by replacing R with $R \ltimes C$, one can use 4.2 to complete the proof.

□

The following corollary is a consequence of pervious proposition and 4.3.

Corollary 4.6. *Let R be Cohen-Macaulay, C be a semidualizing R–module and let M be a d–dimensional finitely generated R–module of finite G_C–projective dimension. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) M is Cohen-Macaulay.
(ii) $G_C\text{-fd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = G_C\text{-pd}_R M + d$.
(iii) $G_C\text{-pd}_R\mathrm{H}^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = G_C\text{-pd}_R M + d$.

Proof. We notice that, by using [4, Exercise 1.2.26] and [19, Theorem 2.2.6], one can deduce that $(R\ltimes C, \mathfrak{m}\oplus C)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Also, M is a Cohen-Macaulay R–module if and only if M is a Cohen-Macaulay $R \ltimes C$–module. Therefore, the assertion follows from 4.3 and 4.5. □

References

[1] M. Auslander, *Modules over unramified regular local rings,* Illinois J. Math. 5 (1961) 631–647.

[2] L.L. Avramov and H-B. Foxby, *Ring homomorphisms and finite Gorenstein dimension,* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 75 (1997) 241–270.

[3] M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: An algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[4] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[5] L.W. Christensen, A. Frankild and H. Holm, *On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensionsA functorial description with applications*, J. Algebra. 302 (2006) 231–279.

[6] L.W. Christensen, H-B. Foxby and H. Holm, *Beyond totally reflexive modules and back*, In: Noetherian and Non-Noetherian Perspectives, edited by M. Fontana, S-E. Kabbaj, B. Olberding and I. Swanson, Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, New York, 2011 101–143.

[7] K. Divaani-Aazar, R. Naghipour and M. Tousi, *Cohomological dimension of certain algebraic varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002) 3537–3544.

[8] E.E. Enochs, O.M.G. Jenda, Jinzhong Xu, *Foxby duality and Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348(8) (1996) 3223–3234.

[9] E.E. Enochs and O.M.G. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.

[10] M.A. Esmkhani, M. Tousi, *Gorenstein homological dimensions and Auslander categories*, J. Algebra. 308(1) (2007) 321–329.

[11] L. Gruson and M. Raynaud, *Critères de platitude et de projectivité*. Techniques de "platifi cation" d'un module, Invent. Math. 13 (1971) 1–89.

[12] M. Hellus and P. Schenzel, On cohomologically complete intersections, Journal of Algebra. 320 (2008) 3733–3748.

[13] H. Holm, *Rings with finite Gorenstein injective dimension*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004) 1279–1283.

[14] H. Holm and P. Jørgensen, *Semidualizing modules and related Gorenstein homological dimension*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra. 205 (2006) 423–445.

[15] C. U. Jensen, *On the Vanishing of* $\varprojlim^{(i)}$, J. Algebra 15 (1970) 151–166.

[16] M. Rahro Zargar, *Local cohomology modules and Gorenstein injectivity with respect to a semidualizing module*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 100 (2013) 25–34.

[17] M. Rahro Zargar and H. Zakeri, *On injective and Gorenstein injective dimensions of local cohomology modules*, to appear in Algebar Colloquium.

[18] J.J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Second ed., Springer, New York, 2009.

[19] S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Semidualizing modules,* http://www.ndsu.edu/pubweb/ ssatherw.

[20] S. Sather-Wagstaff and S. Yassemi, *Modules of finite homological dimension with respect to a semidualizing module*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 93 (2009) 111–121.

[21] R. Sazeedeh, *Gorenstein injective of the section functor,* Forum Mathematicum, 22 (2010) 1117–1127.

[22] R. Takahashi and D. White, *Homological aspects of semidualizing modules,* Math. Scand. 106 (2010) 5–22.

[23] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Divisor theory in module categories*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 14, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1974).

M.R. Zargar and H. Zakeri, Faculty of mathematical sciences and computer, Kharazmi University, 599 Taleghani Avenue, Tehran 15618, Iran.

E-mail address: `zargar9077@gmail.com`

E-mail address: `zakeri@tmu.ac.ir`